

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/766,778	01/22/2001	Adonia E. Papathanassiu	05213-0294 8711 (43170-252538) EXAMINER	
759	90 10/15/2003			
Jamie L. Greene			BORIN, MICHAEL I.	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 2400 Monarch Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3424 Peachtree Road, N.E.			1631	
Atlanta, GA 30326			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/766,778	PAPATHANASSIU ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Borin	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
		Michael Borin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3.

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicants arguments are deemed persuasive in regard to rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103. Examiner agrees that the claims should be viewed as drawn not to full-length TFPI, but rather to a fragment comprising Kunitz-3 domain. Therefore, art rejections are wuithdrawn.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In regard to rejection under under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, Examiner maintains that the specification, while being enabling for "active" fragments comprising Kunitz-3 domain, does not reasonably provide enablement for "active fragments thereof". While clearly stating that Kunitz-3 domain is required to possess the required activity (see Example 5, and page 11, lines 25-27), specification is devoi of examples or guidance disclosing any "active" fragments of Kunitz-3 domain. There is no guidance on core structure required for suc fragments to exhibit antiproliferative or anti-angiogenesis activity.

Continuation of 10. Other: It seems that the last 2 lines of claim 17 got cut off during scanning of the claim listing. Please resubmit. .